

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	EU DIO DAME	Dyn Am Mark Company			
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,534	11/01/2001	Tae-Sung Jung	678-768(P9939)	3265	
28249 7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ONIONDALE, I	41 11333		2142		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/002,53	4	JUNG, TAE-SUNG				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Douglas B	Blair	2142				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even. eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co) (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status				·	•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 September 2	006.					
2a)□	•	This action is no						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo			secution as to the	e merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	on.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)[objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bu	•	` ','					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	асепт Арріісацоп				

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-8 are currently pending in this application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/28/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,915,345 to Tummala et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,751,729 to Giniger et al.
- 5. As to claim 1, Tummala teaches a system for providing a VPN (Virtual Private Network) service by connecting a VPN to a mobile communication network, comprising: a home agent (HA) in which is stored location information of a mobile node (col. 10, lines 15-23, the HA is capable of communicating with the mobile node so therefore has stored some form of

Art Unit: 2142

the HA is capable of contacting the foreign agent so therefore it has information about the VPN service); a foreign agent (FA) for receiving location registration information from the MN, transmitting a location registration request message to the HA, and transmitting data to an ISP (Internet Service Provider) router of an FA network, when receiving a VPN service request (col. 10, lines 37-53); an ISP server for IP tunneling between the ISP router of the FA network and an ISP router of the VPN (col. 10, lines 15-36); a router network for routing the FA network and the VPN, and receiving and forwarding the data using an IP tunnel to a correspondence node (col. 10, lines 15-36); and a VPN server for providing the VPN service (col. 9, lines 33-40); however Tummala does not explicitly teach an ISP server positioned between ISP routers for tunneling in between the routers.

Giniger teaches an ISP server positioned between ISP routers for tunneling in between the routers in order to establish a VPN between to disparate networks (col. 8, lines 28-42 and Figure 1 shows the concept of "between").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Tummala with regarding to the establishment of a VPN over a mobile network with the teachings of Giniger regarding an ISP server for providing a tunnel between ISP routers to form a VPN because an ISP server located between the edge devices can enhance the security of the VPN (Giniger, col. 8, lines 28-42).

6. As to claim 2, Tummala teaches the system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the router network includes a server for searching an edge Internet Protocol (IP) router in the network using an address of the FA (col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 26).

Art Unit: 2142

- 7. As to claim 3, Tummala teaches the system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the HA prevents the MN from accepting a call request received from a specific node in an IP network while the MN is performing the VPN service (col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 26).
- 8. As to claim 4, it is the same as claim 1 with addition of a limitation for performing IP communication with a specific subscriber. Tummala teaches performing IP communication with the mobile node.
- 9. As to claim 5, Tummala teaches the system as claimed in claim 4, wherein the router network includes a server for searching an edge IP router in the network using an address of the FA (col. 8, line 5-col. 9, line 26).
- 10. As to claim 6, Tummala teaches the system as claimed in claim 4, wherein the HA prevents the MN from accepting a call request received from a specific node in an IP network while the MN is performing the VPN service (col. 8, line 5-col. 9, line 26).
- 11. As to claim 7, Tummala teaches the system as claimed in claim 4, wherein the MN transmits an address of the HA and an address of the VPN server to the FA during VPN registration, and performs the VPN service by receiving a temporary ID for use of the VPN from the FA during the location registration (col. 8, line 5-col. 9, line 26).
- 12. As to claim 8, Tummala teaches the system as claimed in claim 7, wherein the MN stores an address of the VPN server and an address of a router in the network, received from the FA, and performs the VPN service using the received addresses (col. 8, line 5-col. 9, line 26).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,534 Page 5

Art Unit: 2142

Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments filed 9/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Tummala does not teach the claim language as amended because (a) Tummala does not teach a home agent in which is stored location information of a mobile node and information about the VPN service for the mobile node; (b) Tummala does not disclose a foreign agent that transmits data to an ISP router of a foreign agent network when receiving a VPN request; and (c) an ISP server positioned between the ISP router of the foreign agent network and an ISP router of the VPN for tunneling between the ISP router.
- 14. As to point (a), the claim is non-specific as to the type of location information stored on the home agent. In Tummala, the home agent is clearly capable of contacting the mobile node via the foreign network, so therefore the home agent has some form of information to locate the mobile node and the foreign network that is part of the VPN. It is suggested by the Examiner that the claim language be amended to specifically point out how the home agent locates the mobile node in order for the applicant to differentiate the claimed invention from Tummala.
- 15. As to point (b), the system of Tummala would not be able to function if the foreign agent did not notify an ISP router, otherwise the foreign agent would never be able to contact the home agent network and Tummala would be useless.
- 16. As to point (c), the Examiner acknowledges that Tummala does not explicitly teach a server being positioned in between the ISP routers however this concept is viewed as obvious in view of Giniger. The claim language does not make it clear whether the ISP server is "for tunneling between the ISP routers" or whether the ISP router for the VPN is "for tunneling

Art Unit: 2142

between the ISP routers". It is suggested by the Examiner that the claim language be more

explicit with regard to this limitation to eliminate ambiguity.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

DBB

EW CALDWELL

Undrew Celdle

SAY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6